

Memorandum

To: Dante Rodriquez, Remedial Project Manager

Site Cleanup Section 3, SFD-7-3

USEPA Region 9

Through: Joe Eidelberg, Chemist

Quality Assurance Section, EMD-3-2

USEPA Region 9

From: Kathy O'Brien, Project Manager

ICF, Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT) Region 9

ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-13-029 Technical Direction No.: 10106165

Date: July 7, 2017

Re: Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

Site: Ananconda Yerrington Copper

Site Account No.: 09 GU QB08 Case No.: 46764 SDG No.: MYA9M7

Laboratory: Chemtex Environmental Laboratory (CHX)
Analysis: CLP Metals by ICP-AES and ICP-MS

Samples: 5 Soil Samples
Collection Dates: April 4, 2017
Reviewer: Lisa Brooker, ESAT

EXES Data Manager has been updated with the results of this review and the validation level revised to S3VEM; the dynamic deliverables were regenerated and are available on the SMO Portal.

If there are any questions, please contact Joe Eidelberg (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3809.

Attachment

cc: Richard Freitas, CLP COR USEPA Region 9 Raymond Flores, CLP COR USEPA Region 6

CLP PO: [X] FYI [] Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: [X] Yes [] No

Data Validation Report - Tier 3

Case No.: 46764 SDG No.: MYA9M7

Site: Ananconda Yerrington Copper

Laboratory: Chemtex Environmental Laboratory (CHX) Analysis: CLP Metals by ICP-AES and ICP-MS

Reviewer: Lisa Brooker, ESAT

Date: July 7, 2017

I. SDG SUMMARY

For <u>Sample Information</u> and <u>Laboratory Quality Control (QC)</u>, refer to EXES National Functional Guidelines (NFG) data validation reports <u>Analytical Sample Listing</u> and <u>Inorganic Analytical Sequence</u>. EXES Data Manager has been updated with the results of this review and the validation level revised to S3VEM; the dynamic deliverables were regenerated and are available on the SMO Portal. The data qualifier definitions, as described in page 6 of the National Functional Guidelines, are attached to this report.

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): None. Equipment Blanks (EB): None. Background Samples (BG): None.

Field Duplicates (D1): MYA9M6 (in SDG MYA9K9) and MYA9M7.

CLP PO Action

None.

Sampling Issues

- 1. Samples were received by the laboratory with a temperature of 11.2° C which is above the \leq 6°C sample preservation criterion (see Additional Comments).
- 2. Samples were collected on April 4, 2017 and were shipped to the laboratory on April 12, 2017 (see Additional Comments).
- 3. The SDG Narrative indicates that the samples were received in a box. A temperature blank was not present in the box.
- 4. The sample log-in sheets indicate that custody seals were not present on the box.

Additional Comments

The samples were analyzed for Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) metals. Aluminum, calcium, iron, manganese, potassium, and sodium were analyzed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-AES). Antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, boron, cadmium, chromium, cobalt, copper, lead, magnesium, molybdenum, nickel, selenium, silver, thallium, thorium, uranium, vanadium, and zinc were analyzed by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS) under Modification Analysis 2725.0.

As noted in Sampling Issues above, the samples were not adequately maintained at \leq 6°C as specified in the statement of work (SOW). Technical judgment indicates no adverse effect is expected on metal results; this is substantiated by the recommended preservation criteria for metals in Table 3-2 of EPA publication SW-846, Update V, Revision 5, July 2014 (preservation criteria for metals does not require chilling).

The chain of custody records (COCs) indicate that the samples were collected on April 4, 2017 and were shipped to the laboratory on April 12, 2017. The reviewer presumed that the samples were stored properly from the time of collection to the time of shipping. The laboratory documentation indicates that they were received at a temperature of 11.2°C.

For samples MYA9M8 and MYA9P2, results for beryllium and boron were incorrectly reported from the 2-fold dilution analysis instead of the undiluted analysis. The laboratory submitted revised Form 1A upon request, on June 30, 2017; the corrected results are reported in the EXES Data Manager.

For the ICP-MS analysis, true values and recoveries for molybdenum were incorrectly reported on Form 4 (ICP Interference Check Sample). The laboratory submitted revised Form 4 upon request, on June 30, 2017. Recoveries for molybdenum are within the QC limit.

The preparation records for some solutions were missing in the data package. The laboratory submitted the missing pages upon request, on June 30, 2017. All standards and spiking solutions were analyzed before the expiration date.

The samples listed below did not meet the internal standard QC limit of 60·125% relative intensity (RI) in the initial analysis. The samples were diluted and reanalyzed as required by the SOW. Results for beryllium and boron in samples MYA9M9 and MYA9P3 are reported from the 2-fold dilution because the RIs are within the QC limit.

| Sample | Internal Standard | % Relative Intensity from Undiluted | % Relative Intensity from 2-Fold Dilution |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| MYA9M9 | Lithium-6 | 58 | 76 |
| MYA9P3 | Lithium-6 | 59 | 73 |

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- ☐ USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Inorganic Superfund Methods (Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration), ISM02.4, October 2016;
- ☐ *ICP-MS Analysis Plus Boron, Molybdenum, Thorium, and Uranium,* Modified Analysis 2725.0, February 8, 2017; and
- □ USEPA National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review, January 2017.

For technical definitions, refer to Exhibit G (Glossary of Terms), USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Inorganic Superfund Methods (Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration), ISM02.4.

II. VALIDATION SUMMARY

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

| | Parameter | <u>Acceptable</u> | Comment |
|----|--|-------------------|---------|
| 1 | Data Completeness | Yes | |
| 2 | Preservation and Holding Times | Yes | |
| 3 | ICP-MS Tune Analysis | Yes | |
| 4 | Calibration | Yes | |
| | a. Initial | Yes | |
| | b. Initial and Continuing Calibration Verification | Yes | |
| 5 | Laboratory Blanks | Yes | В |
| 6 | Field/Equipment Blanks | N/A | |
| 7 | ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) | Yes | |
| 8 | Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) | Yes | |
| 9 | Duplicate Sample Analysis | Yes | |
| 10 | Spike Sample Analysis | Yes | |
| 11 | ICP Serial Dilution | Yes | |
| 12 | ICP-MS Internal Standards | Yes | |
| 13 | Analyte Quantitation | Yes | A, D |
| 14 | Field Duplicate Sample Analysis | No | C |
| 15 | Overall Assessment of Data | Yes | |

N/A = Not Applicable.

III. VALIDITY AND COMMENTS

- A. Results above the method detection limit (MDL) but below the contract required quantitation limit (CRQL) are estimated and flagged "J." Results are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in analytical precision near the quantitation limit.
- B. The following results are qualified as non-detected (U) due to low level initial calibration blank (ICB) and continuing calibration blank (CCB) contamination.
 - ☐ Antimony in all field samples.
 - ☐ Boron in sample MYA9M9 and MYA9P2.
 - ☐ Selenium in samples MYA9M7 and MYA9M8.

Analyte amounts greater than the MDL but less than the CRQL were reported in calibration blanks at the concentrations presented below.

| Analyte | Blank | Concentration, µg/L |
|----------|--|---------------------|
| Antimony | ICB | 0.32 |
| Boron | ICB(5/2/17)/ICB(5/4/17)/CCBS85/ CCBS86 | 4.5/5.1/3.7/2.5 |
| Selenium | CCBS80 | 0.86 |

Sample results that are greater than or equal to the MDL but less than or equal to the CRQL are reported as non-detected (U) at the respective CRQL.

C. Results for the following field duplicate pair do not meet the absolute difference criterion as presented below.

| Analyte | MYA9M6 | MYA9M7 | Difference, | Limit, |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | (D2), mg/kg | (D2), mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg |
| Thorium | 5.1 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.0 |

Results for the following field duplicate pair do not meet the relative percent difference (RPD) criterion for precision as presented below.

| | MYA9M6 | MYA9M7 | | |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-----|----------|
| Analyte | (D2), mg/kg | (D2), mg/kg | RPD | QC Limit |
| Uranium | 2.2 | 1.7 | 26% | 20% |

This uncertainty should be evaluated in the context of project data quality objectives to determine data usability.

D. Sample MYA9M7 was reanalyzed at a 2.0-fold dilution due to the concentration of manganese exceeding the calibration range. Result for manganese in sample MYA9M7 is reported from the diluted analysis.

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR INORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared according to the document, "National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review," January 2017 (Table 1, page 6).

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
- J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
- J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
- UJ The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
- R The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting Quality Control (QC) criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.